1 2

> 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

v.

14

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

2.4

25

MARTINEZ,

CAPT. PENA,

26 2.7

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Petitioner,

JOAQUIN MURRIETTA Civil No.13-1457-BTM(WVG)

> REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION RE DISMISSAL OF PETITION

Respondent.

On August 19, 2013, Petitioner Joaquin Murrietta Martinez ("Petitioner") filed a First Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("FAP"). On December 27, 2013, Respondent Capt. Pena ("Respondent") filed an Answer to the FAP and lodged with the Court the state court record pertaining to Petitioner's conviction. The Answer indicated that Petitioner failed to exhaust claim nos. 3 and 4 in his FAP. The Court reviewed the state court record and found that Respondent was correct.

On January 2, 2014, this Court issued a Notice Regarding Possible Dismissal of Petition For Failure To Exhaust State Court Remedies ("Notice"). In the Notice,

2.

2.2

2.3

2.4

2.7

the Court found that Petitioner failed to exhaust his state court remedies pertaining to all of the claims in his Petition. Therefore, the Court gave Petitioner four options:

- (1) file a supplemental memorandum stating how all of his claims have been exhausted in state court; or
- (2) dismiss his petition in order to exhaust all state court remedies; or
- (3) formally and permanently abandon all unexhausted claims; or
- (4) file a motion to stay the federal proceedings.

Petitioner was ordered to exercise one of these options on or before February 3, 2014. At Petitioner's request, the February 3, 2014 date was extended to March 3, 2014. At Petitioner's request, the March 3, 2014 date was extended to April 3, 2014. At Petitioner's request, the April 3, 2014 date was extended to May 9, 2014.

The Court indicated in its January 2, 2014 Notice that if Petitioner failed to exercise one of the four options noted above, by February 3, 2014 (later extended to May 9, 2014), then it would still be faced with a petition that contains unexhausted claims and would therefore have to recommend dismissal of Petitioner's case.

As of the date of this Report and Recommendation, the Court has not received a written response from Petitioner indicating which option he chooses. Accordingly, this Court RECOMMENDS that Petitioner's First Amended

Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus be DISMISSED without prejudice to Petitioner's refiling in the future a petition which contains only exhausted claims.

This Report and Recommendation is submitted to the United States District Court Judge assigned to this case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1).

IT IS ORDERED that no later than <u>June 20, 2014</u>, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. The document should be captioned "Objections to Report and Recommendation."

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any reply to the objections shall be served and filed no later than <u>July 7</u>, <u>2014</u>. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to raise those objections on appeal of the Court's order. <u>Martinez v. Ylst</u>, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: May 20, 2014

2.

William V. Gallo

U.S. Magistrate Judge